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## Examples

- LASSO: $\mathcal{L}=\|y-X \theta\|_{2}^{2}, \mathcal{R}=\|\theta\|_{1}$
- Low-Rank Matrix Approximation: $\mathcal{L}=\|A-\Theta\|_{F}^{2}, \mathcal{R}=\|\Theta\|_{*}$
(1) analytically solvable
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Partition space of possible $\theta$ into

- Model subspace $\mathcal{M} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$
- Perturbation subspace $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\perp}$

The true $\theta^{*}$ should lie mostly in $\mathcal{M}$

Examples
LASSO - $\mathcal{M}$ is support of a sparse $\theta^{*}$
Low Rank Matrices - $\mathcal{M}$ is matrices whose singular vectors span same space as those of $\Theta^{*}$

## Decomposability
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$\ell_{1}$ and nuclear norms are decomposable
Combinations of these norms also decomposable

## Lemma 1

If $\lambda_{n} \geq \mathcal{R}^{*}\left(\nabla \mathcal{L}\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right)$, the estimation error will lie in a certain region

$$
\hat{\theta}-\theta^{*} \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathcal{M}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\perp} ; \theta^{*}\right)
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If $\theta^{*} \in \mathcal{M}$
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## Lemma 1

If $\lambda_{n} \geq \mathcal{R}^{*}\left(\nabla \mathcal{L}\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right)$, the estimation error will lie in a certain region

$$
\hat{\theta}-\theta^{*} \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathcal{M}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\perp} ; \theta^{*}\right)
$$

If $\theta^{*} \notin \mathcal{M}$

$$
\mathbb{C}\left(\mathcal{M}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\perp} ; \theta^{*}\right):=\left\{\Delta \mid \mathcal{R}\left(\Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\perp}}\right) \leq 3 \mathcal{R}\left(\Delta_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}\right)+4 \mathcal{R}\left(\theta_{\mathcal{M}^{\perp}}^{*}\right)\right\}
$$
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(b)

Only need strong convexity WITHIN $\mathbb{C}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}\left(\theta^{*}+\Delta\right)-\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\theta^{*}\right)+\left\langle\nabla \mathcal{L}\left(\theta^{*}\right), \Delta\right\rangle\right) \geq \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}}\|\Delta\|^{2}-\tau_{\mathcal{L}}^{2}\left(\theta^{*}\right) \\
\forall \Delta \in \mathbb{C}\left(\mathcal{M}, \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\perp} ; \theta^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Subspace Compatibility Constant
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## Subspace Compatibility Constant

$$
\Psi(\mathcal{M}):=\sup _{u \in \mathcal{M} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\mathcal{R}(u)}{\|u\|}
$$

Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & \in \mathbb{R}^{p} \\
\mathcal{R}(u) & =\|u\|_{1} \\
\|u\| & =\|u\|_{2} \\
\Psi(\mathcal{M}) & =\sqrt{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem 1
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Corollary 1: $\theta^{*} \in \mathcal{M}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\hat{\theta}_{\lambda_{n}}-\theta^{*}\right\| & \leq 3 \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}}} \Psi(\overline{\mathcal{M}}) \\
\mathcal{R}\left(\hat{\theta}_{\lambda_{n}}-\theta^{*}\right) & \leq 12 \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{L}}} \Psi^{2}(\overline{\mathcal{M}})
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Conclusions

Decomposable Regularizer

- error vector lies in a cone or a star

Restricted Strong Convexity yields error bound

- strength of restricted convexity
- chosen subspace
- how well it captures $\theta^{*}$
- subspace compatibility constant


## Questions?

